

# On the dimension growth of groups

Alexander Dranishnikov and Mark Sapir

Honolulu, March 3, 2012

# The definition

## **Definition**

# The definition

**Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph.

# The definition

**Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. Let  $\lambda > 1$ . Let  $k = k(\lambda)$  be the minimal number of colors so that we can color vertices of  $\Gamma$  in  $k$  colors and there are no arbitrary long monochromatic  $\lambda$ -paths without repeated vertices.

# The definition

**Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. Let  $\lambda > 1$ . Let  $k = k(\lambda)$  be the minimal number of colors so that we can color vertices of  $\Gamma$  in  $k$  colors and there are no arbitrary long monochromatic  $\lambda$ -paths without repeated vertices. Then  $k(\lambda) - 1$  is called the *dimension growth function* of  $\Gamma$ . The number  $d$  such that  $d = \max_{\lambda} (k(\lambda) - 1)$  is called the asymptotic dimension of  $\Gamma$ . (Gromov)

## The definition

**Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. Let  $\lambda > 1$ . Let  $k = k(\lambda)$  be the minimal number of colors so that we can color vertices of  $\Gamma$  in  $k$  colors and there are no arbitrary long monochromatic  $\lambda$ -paths without repeated vertices. Then  $k(\lambda) - 1$  is called the *dimension growth function* of  $\Gamma$ . The number  $d$  such that  $d = \max_{\lambda}(k(\lambda) - 1)$  is called the asymptotic dimension of  $\Gamma$ . (Gromov)

A  $\lambda$ -path is a sequence of vertices with distances between consecutive vertices  $\leq \lambda$ .

## The definition

**Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. Let  $\lambda > 1$ . Let  $k = k(\lambda)$  be the minimal number of colors so that we can color vertices of  $\Gamma$  in  $k$  colors and there are no arbitrary long monochromatic  $\lambda$ -paths without repeated vertices. Then  $k(\lambda) - 1$  is called the *dimension growth function* of  $\Gamma$ . The number  $d$  such that  $d = \max_{\lambda} (k(\lambda) - 1)$  is called the asymptotic dimension of  $\Gamma$ . (Gromov)

A  $\lambda$ -path is a sequence of vertices with distances between consecutive vertices  $\leq \lambda$ .

For example if  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or the square lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ), then  $k(1) = 2$ .

## The definition

**Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. Let  $\lambda > 1$ . Let  $k = k(\lambda)$  be the minimal number of colors so that we can color vertices of  $\Gamma$  in  $k$  colors and there are no arbitrary long monochromatic  $\lambda$ -paths without repeated vertices. Then  $k(\lambda) - 1$  is called the *dimension growth function* of  $\Gamma$ . The number  $d$  such that  $d = \max_{\lambda} (k(\lambda) - 1)$  is called the asymptotic dimension of  $\Gamma$ . (Gromov)

A  $\lambda$ -path is a sequence of vertices with distances between consecutive vertices  $\leq \lambda$ .

For example if  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or the square lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ), then  $k(1) = 2$ .

Color even vertices in white, odd vertices in black.

The growth rate of  $k(\lambda)$  is a q.i. invariant.



# The upper bound

**Proposition.** The dimension growth of a finitely generated group  $G$  does not exceed its volume growth.

# The upper bound

**Proposition.** The dimension growth of a finitely generated group  $G$  does not exceed its volume growth.

**Proof** Let  $f$  be the volume growth function. We consider a graph with vertices elements of  $G$  where every two vertices at distance  $\leq \lambda$  are joined by an edge. Then the valency of this graph is  $\leq f(\lambda)$ . The graph has chromatic number  $\leq f(\lambda) + 1$ .

## The upper bound

**Proposition.** The dimension growth of a finitely generated group  $G$  does not exceed its volume growth.

**Proof** Let  $f$  be the volume growth function. We consider a graph with vertices elements of  $G$  where every two vertices at distance  $\leq \lambda$  are joined by an edge. Then the valency of this graph is  $\leq f(\lambda)$ . The graph has chromatic number  $\leq f(\lambda) + 1$ .

**Corollary.** The dimension growth of any finitely generated group is at most exponential.

# Ozawa's result

**Theorem.** (Ozawa) If the dimension growth of a group is subexponential, then the group satisfies G. Yu's property A, hence it is uniformly embeddable into a Hilbert space.

## Ozawa's result

**Theorem.** (Ozawa) If the dimension growth of a group is subexponential, then the group satisfies G. Yu's property A, hence it is uniformly embeddable into a Hilbert space.

**Problem.** Is the opposite implication true?

# Ozawa's result

**Theorem.** (Ozawa) If the dimension growth of a group is subexponential, then the group satisfies G. Yu's property A, hence it is uniformly embeddable into a Hilbert space.

**Problem.** Is the opposite implication true?

Hence Gromov random groups containing expanders have exponential asymptotic dimension growth. This is the only known example.

# Distortion of subgroups and dimension growth

**Observation.** Suppose that for a group  $\Gamma$   $k_\Gamma(\lambda) = k$  for some  $\lambda$ .  
Suppose that  $\Gamma$   $(L, C)$ -embeds into  $G$ . Then  $k_G(\frac{\lambda-C}{L}) \geq k$ .

# Distortion of subgroups and dimension growth

**Observation.** Suppose that for a group  $\Gamma$   $k_\Gamma(\lambda) = k$  for some  $\lambda$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$   $(L, C)$ -embeds into  $G$ . Then  $k_G(\frac{\lambda-C}{L}) \geq k$ .

**Theorem.**(Panov, Moore) Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^\infty$  with  $l_1$ -metric. Then  $k_\Gamma(2) = \infty$ .



# Distortion of subgroups and dimension growth

**Observation.** Suppose that for a group  $\Gamma$   $k_\Gamma(\lambda) = k$  for some  $\lambda$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$   $(L, C)$ -embeds into  $G$ . Then  $k_G(\frac{\lambda-C}{L}) \geq k$ .

**Theorem.**(Panov, Moore) Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^\infty$  with  $l_1$ -metric. Then  $k_\Gamma(2) = \infty$ .

**Proof.** Every finite subset  $M$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  corresponds to a vector  $v(M)$  from  $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$  with coordinates 0, 1 in the natural way. Choose any  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $P_k(\mathbb{N})$  denote the set of all  $k$ -element subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Every finite coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$  induces a finite coloring of  $P_k(\mathbb{N})$ . By Ramsey there exists a subset  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of size  $2k$  such that all  $k$ -element subsets of  $M$  have the same color. Therefore we can find subsets  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$  of size  $k$  from  $M$  such that the symmetric distance between  $T_i$  and  $T_{i+1}$  is 2,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and  $T_1, T_k$  are disjoint. Then the vectors  $v(T_1), \dots, v(T_k)$  from  $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$  form a monochromatic 2-path of diameter  $\geq 2k$ .

# The dimension growth of $\mathbb{Z}^n$

**Theorem.**(D+S) If  $n < 2^\lambda$ , then  $k_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\lambda) = n + 1$ .

# The dimension growth of $\mathbb{Z}^n$

**Theorem.**(D+S) If  $n < 2^\lambda$ , then  $k_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\lambda) = n + 1$ .

**Idea of the proof.** Extend the coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  to coloring of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and use the fact that the covering dimension of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $n$ .

# The dimension growth of wreath products

**Theorem.** (D+S) Suppose that the growth function of a group  $G$  is exponential, then the dimension growth of  $Z \wr G$  is at least  $\exp \sqrt{\lambda}$ .

# The dimension growth of wreath products

**Theorem.** (D+S) Suppose that the growth function of a group  $G$  is exponential, then the dimension growth of  $\mathbb{Z} \wr G$  is at least  $\exp \sqrt{\lambda}$ .

**Idea of the proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}^{\exp n}$  embeds into  $\mathbb{Z} \wr G$  with q.i. constants  $(O(n), 1)$ . Hence  $k_G(\lambda) \geq k_{\mathbb{Z}^{\exp n}}(\lambda/O(n))$ . Take  $\lambda = O(n^2)$ . We conclude by the theorem above that  $k_G(O(n^2)) \geq \exp O(n)$ .

# The dimension growth of wreath products

**Theorem.** (D+S) Suppose that the growth function of a group  $G$  is exponential, then the dimension growth of  $\mathbb{Z} \wr G$  is at least  $\exp \sqrt{\lambda}$ .

**Idea of the proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}^{\exp n}$  embeds into  $\mathbb{Z} \wr G$  with q.i. constants  $(O(n), 1)$ . Hence  $k_G(\lambda) \geq k_{\mathbb{Z}^{\exp n}}(\lambda/O(n))$ . Take  $\lambda = O(n^2)$ . We conclude by the theorem above that  $k_G(O(n^2)) \geq \exp O(n)$ .

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Z} \wr (\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})$ .

# The dimension growth of wreath products

**Theorem.** (D+S) Suppose that the growth function of a group  $G$  is exponential, then the dimension growth of  $\mathbb{Z} \wr G$  is at least  $\exp \sqrt{\lambda}$ .

**Idea of the proof.**  $\mathbb{Z}^{\exp n}$  embeds into  $\mathbb{Z} \wr G$  with q.i. constants  $(O(n), 1)$ . Hence  $k_G(\lambda) \geq k_{\mathbb{Z}^{\exp n}}(\lambda/O(n))$ . Take  $\lambda = O(n^2)$ . We conclude by the theorem above that  $k_G(O(n^2)) \geq \exp O(n)$ .

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Z} \wr (\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Remark.** This is the biggest known dimension growth function of an amenable group.

# The lower bounds of the dimension growth of the R. Thompson group and its subgroups.

**Theorem.**(D+S, follows from Arzhantseva+Guba+S) The group  $F$  contains a  $(n, 1)$ -distorted copy of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2^n}$  for every  $n$ . Hence the dimension growth of  $F$  is at least  $\exp \sqrt{n}$ .



# The lower bounds of the dimension growth of the R. Thompson group and its subgroups.

**Theorem.** (D+S, follows from Arzhantseva+Guba+S) The group  $F$  contains a  $(n, 1)$ -distorted copy of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2^n}$  for every  $n$ . Hence the dimension growth of  $F$  is at least  $\exp \sqrt{n}$ .

**Theorem.** (D+S) There exists an elementary amenable subgroup  $B$  of  $F$  with  $k_B(n) \geq \exp \sqrt{n}$ .

# An open problem

**Problem.** Is it true that for some  $\lambda > 1, \alpha > 0$ ,  $k_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\lambda) = O(n^\alpha)$ .

# An open problem

**Problem.** Is it true that for some  $\lambda > 1, \alpha > 0$ ,  $k_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\lambda) = O(n^\alpha)$ .  
If “yes”, then the asymptotic dimension growth is exponential.

# An open problem

**Problem.** Is it true that for some  $\lambda > 1, \alpha > 0$ ,  $k_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\lambda) = O(n^\alpha)$ .  
If “yes”, then the asymptotic dimension growth is exponential.  
We do not know the answer for  $\lambda = 2, \alpha = 1$ . We also do not know whether  $k_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\lambda)$  is bounded for every  $\lambda$  as a function of  $n$ .

# The upper bound

**Theorem.** (D+S) The dimension growth of every solvable subgroup of  $F$ , say  $(\dots(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})\dots) \wr \mathbb{Z}$ , is polynomial.

# The upper bound

**Theorem.** (D+S) The dimension growth of every solvable subgroup of  $F$ , say  $(\dots(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})\dots) \wr \mathbb{Z}$ , is polynomial.

**Idea of the proof.** Use the Kolmogorov-Ostrand work on the Hilbert 13th problem.

# The upper bound

**Theorem.** (D+S) The dimension growth of every solvable subgroup of  $F$ , say  $(\dots(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})\dots) \wr \mathbb{Z}$ , is polynomial.

**Idea of the proof.** Use the Kolmogorov-Ostrand work on the Hilbert 13th problem.

K+O introduced a function  $k'(\lambda)$  which is bigger than  $k(\lambda)$ . We prove that  $k'_{G \wr \mathbb{Z}}(n)$  is not greater than  $\int_0^{n+2} k'_G(x) dx$ .

## The upper bound

**Theorem.** (D+S) The dimension growth of every solvable subgroup of  $F$ , say  $(\dots(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})\dots) \wr \mathbb{Z}$ , is polynomial.

**Idea of the proof.** Use the Kolmogorov-Ostrand work on the Hilbert 13th problem.

K+O introduced a function  $k'(\lambda)$  which is bigger than  $k(\lambda)$ . We prove that  $k'_{G \wr \mathbb{Z}}(n)$  is not greater than  $\int_0^{n+2} k'_G(x) dx$ .

Hence the function  $k_{B_s}(n)$  where  $B_s = \underbrace{(\dots(Z \wr Z)\dots)}_{s+1}$  is at most

$n^s$ . By the previous results it is at least  $n^{s/2}$ .



## The upper bound

**Theorem.** (D+S) The dimension growth of every solvable subgroup of  $F$ , say  $(\dots(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})\dots) \wr \mathbb{Z}$ , is polynomial.

**Idea of the proof.** Use the Kolmogorov-Ostrand work on the Hilbert 13th problem.

K+O introduced a function  $k'(\lambda)$  which is bigger than  $k(\lambda)$ . We prove that  $k'_{G \wr \mathbb{Z}}(n)$  is not greater than  $\int_0^{n+2} k'_G(x) dx$ .

Hence the function  $k_{B_s}(n)$  where  $B_s = \underbrace{(\dots(Z \wr Z)\dots)}_{s+1}$  is at most

$n^s$ . By the previous results it is at least  $n^{s/2}$ .

**Problem.** What is the actual dimension growth of the iterated wreath product  $B_s$ ?