

# A Natural Generalization of the Congruent Number Problem

Larry Rolen

Emory University

# The Classical Congruent Number Problem

## Definition

*We say that a square-free, positive integer  $n$  is congruent if it is the area of some right triangle with rational side lengths.*

# The Classical Congruent Number Problem

## Definition

*We say that a square-free, positive integer  $n$  is congruent if it is the area of some right triangle with rational side lengths.*

- For example, 6 is a congruent number as it is the area of a 3 – 4 – 5 triangle.

# The Classical Congruent Number Problem

## Definition

*We say that a square-free, positive integer  $n$  is congruent if it is the area of some right triangle with rational side lengths.*

- For example, 6 is a congruent number as it is the area of a 3 – 4 – 5 triangle.
- Classical techniques solved the problem for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7$ .

# Is 157 congruent?

- This is not so simple.

# Is 157 congruent?

- This is not so simple.
- In fact 157 is congruent, and Zagier computed the hypotenuse of the “simplest” triangle with area 157 as

$$\frac{224403517704336969924557513090674863160948472041}{8912332268928859588025535178967163570016480830}$$

# Tunnell's Theorem

## Theorem (Tunnell 1983)

For a given integer  $n$ , define

$$A_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 2x^2 + y^2 + 32z^2\},$$

$$B_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 2x^2 + y^2 + 8z^2\},$$

$$C_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 8x^2 + 2y^2 + y64z^2\},$$

$$D_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 2x^2 + y^2 + 16z^2\}.$$

# Tunnell's Theorem

## Theorem (Tunnell 1983)

For a given integer  $n$ , define

$$A_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 2x^2 + y^2 + 32z^2\},$$

$$B_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 2x^2 + y^2 + 8z^2\},$$

$$C_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 8x^2 + 2y^2 + y64z^2\},$$

$$D_n := \#\{x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n = 2x^2 + y^2 + 16z^2\}.$$

Suppose  $n$  is congruent. If  $n$  is even, then  $2A_n = B_n$ , and if  $n$  is odd then  $2C_n = D_n$ . The converse is also true if we assume BSD.



# A Natural Generalization

## Definition

Let  $\frac{\pi}{3} \leq \theta \leq \pi$  be an angle. We say that a square-free integer  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if there exists a triangle whose largest angle is  $\theta$ , whose side lengths are rational, and whose area is  $n$ .

# A Natural Generalization

## Definition

Let  $\frac{\pi}{3} \leq \theta \leq \pi$  be an angle. We say that a square-free integer  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if there exists a triangle whose largest angle is  $\theta$ , whose side lengths are rational, and whose area is  $n$ .

## Definition

We say that an angle  $\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  is admissible if both  $\sin \theta$  and  $\cos \theta$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# A Natural Generalization

## Definition

Let  $\frac{\pi}{3} \leq \theta \leq \pi$  be an angle. We say that a square-free integer  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if there exists a triangle whose largest angle is  $\theta$ , whose side lengths are rational, and whose area is  $n$ .

## Definition

We say that an angle  $\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  is admissible if both  $\sin \theta$  and  $\cos \theta$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- Admissible angles are parameterized by rational  $m > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$  by the formulae

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1 - m^2}{1 + m^2} \quad \sin \theta = \frac{2m}{1 + m^2}.$$

## Aberrant and Generic Angles

- It is more natural to use the  $m$  parameter in the equations; note that  $m = 1$  corresponds to the classical congruent number problem.

## Aberrant and Generic Angles

- It is more natural to use the  $m$  parameter in the equations; note that  $m = 1$  corresponds to the classical congruent number problem.

### Definition

We say that an admissible  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$  is aberrant if  $m^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ .  
Otherwise we say  $m$  is generic.

## Aberrant and Generic Angles

- It is more natural to use the  $m$  parameter in the equations; note that  $m = 1$  corresponds to the classical congruent number problem.

### Definition

We say that an admissible  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$  is aberrant if  $m^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ .  
Otherwise we say  $m$  is generic.

- The aberrant  $m$  are parameterized by relatively prime  $u, v$  as

$$m = \left( \frac{u^2 - v^2}{2uv} \right)^{\pm 1}.$$

## Aberrant and Generic Angles

- It is more natural to use the  $m$  parameter in the equations; note that  $m = 1$  corresponds to the classical congruent number problem.

### Definition

We say that an admissible  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$  is aberrant if  $m^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ . Otherwise we say  $m$  is generic.

- The aberrant  $m$  are parameterized by relatively prime  $u, v$  as

$$m = \left( \frac{u^2 - v^2}{2uv} \right)^{\pm 1}.$$

- For each aberrant  $m$  there is a unique square-free  $n$  with  $nm \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ . We call this pair  $(n, m)$  aberrant.

## Elliptic Curve Criterion and the Aberrant Case

## Definition

To any admissible pair  $(n, m)$  we associate the elliptic curve

$$E_{n,\theta_m} : y^2 = x \left( x - \frac{n}{m} \right) (x + nm).$$



# Elliptic Curve Criterion and the Aberrant Case

## Definition

To any admissible pair  $(n, m)$  we associate the elliptic curve

$$E_{n, \theta_m} : y^2 = x \left( x - \frac{n}{m} \right) (x + nm).$$

## Theorem (R 2010)

If  $(n, m)$  is aberrant, then  $n$  is  $\theta_m$ -congruent and can be represented by an isosceles triangle. Furthermore, all isosceles triangles with rational side lengths correspond to the aberrant case.

# An Elliptic Curve Criterion

## Theorem (R 2010)

*For any positive square-free integer  $n$  and any admissible angle  $\theta$  we have that  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if and only if  $E_{n,\theta_m}$  has a rational point  $(x, y)$  with  $y \neq 0$ .*

# An Elliptic Curve Criterion

## Theorem (R 2010)

*For any positive square-free integer  $n$  and any admissible angle  $\theta$  we have that  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if and only if  $E_{n,\theta_m}$  has a rational point  $(x, y)$  with  $y \neq 0$ .*

- The proof is elementary and essentially the same as the proof in the classical congruent number case when  $m = 1$ .

# Structure of Torsion Subgroups

## Theorem (R 2010)

*If  $(n, m)$  is aberrant, then  $E_{n, \theta_m}^{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(n, m)$  is generic, then  $E_{n, \theta_m}^{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .*

# Structure of Torsion Subgroups

## Theorem (R 2010)

*If  $(n, m)$  is aberrant, then  $E_{n, \theta_m}^{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(n, m)$  is generic, then  $E_{n, \theta_m}^{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .*

## Corollary

*We have that  $(n, m)$  is a congruent pair if and only if  $(n, m)$  is aberrant or  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{n, \theta_m}(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ .*

# Proof of the Torsion Subgroup Result

## Theorem (Ono)

Let  $E(M, N) : y^2 = x^3 + (M + N)x^2 + MNx$  for  $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- $E(M, N)^{\text{tors}}$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  if  $M$  and  $N$  are both squares, or  $-M$  and  $N - M$  are both squares or  $-N$  and  $M - N$  are both squares.
- $E(M, N)^{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$  if there exists a non-zero integer  $d$  such that  $M = d^2u^4$  and  $N = d^2v^4$ , or  $M = -d^2v^4$  and  $N = d^2(u^4 - v^4)$ , or  $M = d^2(u^4 - v^4)$  and  $N = -d^2v^4$  where  $(u, v, w)$  forms a Pythagorean triple (i.e.  $u^2 + v^2 = w^2$ ).
- $E(M, N)^{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$  if there exist integers  $a, b$  such that  $\frac{a}{b} \notin \{-2, -1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1\}$  and  $M = a^4 + 2a^3b$  and  $N = 2ab^3 + b^4$ .
- Otherwise,  $E(M, N)^{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Examples

- We remark that one can prove Tunnell-style criteria for some specific angles. We would like to address a different problem.

## More General Problems

### Question

*If we fix  $m$  and let the area  $n$  vary, how often is  $(n, m)$  congruent?*



## More General Problems

### Question

*If we fix  $m$  and let the area  $n$  vary, how often is  $(n, m)$  congruent?*

### Question

*If we fix the  $n$  and let the angle  $m$  vary, how often is  $(n, m)$  congruent?*

## More General Problems

### Question

*If we fix  $m$  and let the area  $n$  vary, how often is  $(n, m)$  congruent?*

### Question

*If we fix the  $n$  and let the angle  $m$  vary, how often is  $(n, m)$  congruent?*

- To this end, let

$$h_m(x) := \frac{\#\{1 \leq n \leq x, : n \text{ is } \theta_m\text{-congruent and } n \text{ is square-free}\}}{\#\{1 \leq n \leq x : n \text{ is square-free}\}},$$

$$v_n(x) := \frac{\#\{m \in \mathbb{Q} : h(m) \leq n \text{ and } n \text{ is } \theta_m\text{-congruent}\}}{\#\{m \in \mathbb{Q} : h(m) \leq n\}}.$$

# Density Results

## Theorem (R 2010)

*Suppose that  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  is finite for all elliptic curves of rank 0.  
Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $x \gg_{\epsilon} 0$  then  $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon \leq h_m(x) < 1 - \epsilon$*

# Density Results

## Theorem (R 2010)

*Suppose that  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  is finite for all elliptic curves of rank 0.  
Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $x \gg_{\epsilon} 0$  then  $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon \leq h_m(x) < 1 - \epsilon$*

## Theorem (R 2010)

*Suppose that  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  is finite for all elliptic curves of rank 0.  
Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $x \gg_{\epsilon} 0$  then  $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon \leq v_n(x) \leq 1 - \epsilon$ .*

# Proof of Density Results

## Conjecture (Parity)

*Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $W(E)$  the root number (i.e. the sign of the functional equation). Then  $W(E) = (-1)^{\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E)}$ .*

## Proof of Density Results

### Conjecture (Parity)

*Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $W(E)$  the root number (i.e. the sign of the functional equation). Then  $W(E) = (-1)^{\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E)}$ .*

### Theorem (Dokchitser and Dokchitser 2010)

*For every elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ , either the Parity Conjecture is true for  $E$  or  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the Shafarevich-Tate Conjecture implies the Parity Conjecture.*

# Proof of Density Results

## Conjecture (Parity)

*Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $W(E)$  the root number (i.e. the sign of the functional equation). Then  $W(E) = (-1)^{\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}}(E)}$ .*

## Theorem (Dokchitser and Dokchitser 2010)

*For every elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ , either the Parity Conjecture is true for  $E$  or  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the Shafarevich-Tate Conjecture implies the Parity Conjecture.*

## Lemma

*Assuming the Parity Conjecture, any family of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with average root number 0 consists of at most 50% rank 0 curves.*

## Proof of Density Results for a Fixed Angle

- If the angle is fixed, the family is a family of quadratic twists.



## Proof of Density Results for a Fixed Angle

- If the angle is fixed, the family is a family of quadratic twists.
- It is well-known that a family of quadratic twists has average root number 0.

## Proof of Density Results for a Fixed Angle

- If the angle is fixed, the family is a family of quadratic twists.
- It is well-known that a family of quadratic twists has average root number 0.

### Theorem (Gang Yu)

*If  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has full 2-torsion, then a positive proportion of quadratic twists of  $E$  have rank 0.*

# A Theorem of Helfgott

## Hypothesis

*(A) Let  $P(x, y)$  be a homogenous polynomial. Then only for a zero proportion of all pairs of coprime integers  $(x, y)$  do we have a prime  $p > \max\{x, y\}$  such that  $p^2 | P(x, y)$ .*

# A Theorem of Helfgott

## Hypothesis

(A) Let  $P(x, y)$  be a homogenous polynomial. Then only for a zero proportion of all pairs of coprime integers  $(x, y)$  do we have a prime  $p > \max\{x, y\}$  such that  $p^2 \mid P(x, y)$ .

- The *abc*-Conjecture implies this is true for all square-free  $P$ .

# A Theorem of Helfgott

## Hypothesis

(A) Let  $P(x, y)$  be a homogenous polynomial. Then only for a zero proportion of all pairs of coprime integers  $(x, y)$  do we have a prime  $p > \max\{x, y\}$  such that  $p^2 | P(x, y)$ .

- The *abc*-Conjecture implies this is true for all square-free  $P$ .
- It has been proven when  $\deg(f) \leq 6$  for all irreducible factors.

# A Theorem of Helfgott

## Hypothesis

(A) Let  $P(x, y)$  be a homogenous polynomial. Then only for a zero proportion of all pairs of coprime integers  $(x, y)$  do we have a prime  $p > \max\{x, y\}$  such that  $p^2 | P(x, y)$ .

- The *abc*-Conjecture implies this is true for all square-free  $P$ .
- It has been proven when  $\deg(f) \leq 6$  for all irreducible factors.

## Hypothesis

(B) Let  $\lambda(n) := \prod_{p|n} (-1)^{\nu_p(n)}$  be the Liouville function. Then  $\lambda(P(x, y))$  has strong zero average over  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

# A Theorem of Helfgott

## Hypothesis

(A) Let  $P(x, y)$  be a homogenous polynomial. Then only for a zero proportion of all pairs of coprime integers  $(x, y)$  do we have a prime  $p > \max\{x, y\}$  such that  $p^2 | P(x, y)$ .

- The *abc*-Conjecture implies this is true for all square-free  $P$ .
- It has been proven when  $\deg(f) \leq 6$  for all irreducible factors.

## Hypothesis

(B) Let  $\lambda(n) := \prod_{p|n} (-1)^{\nu_p(n)}$  be the Liouville function. Then  $\lambda(P(x, y))$  has strong zero average over  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

- This has been proven unconditionally for  $\deg(P) = 1, 2, 3$ .

# Helfgott's Result

- Let

$$M_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has mult. red. at } \nu} P_{\nu} \quad , \quad B_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has q. bad red. at } \nu} P_{\nu}.$$



# Helfgott's Result

- Let

$$M_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has mult. red. at } \nu} P_{\nu} \quad , \quad B_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has q. bad red. at } \nu} P_{\nu}.$$

- Here  $P_{\nu} := y$  if  $\nu$  is the infinite place and otherwise  $P_{\nu} := y^{\deg(Q)} Q(\frac{x}{y})$  for the irreducible polynomial  $Q$  inducing  $\nu$ .

# Helfgott's Result

- Let

$$M_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has mult. red. at } \nu} P_{\nu} \quad , \quad B_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has q. bad red. at } \nu} P_{\nu}.$$

- Here  $P_{\nu} := y$  if  $\nu$  is the infinite place and otherwise  $P_{\nu} := y^{\deg(Q)} Q(\frac{x}{y})$  for the irreducible polynomial  $Q$  inducing  $\nu$ .
- We say a curve has quite bad (q. bad) reduction at a place if every quadratic twist also has bad reduction at the same place.

# Helgott's Result

- Let

$$M_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has mult. red. at } \nu} P_{\nu} \quad , \quad B_{\mathcal{E}} := \prod_{\mathcal{E} \text{ has q. bad red. at } \nu} P_{\nu}.$$

- Here  $P_{\nu} := y$  if  $\nu$  is the infinite place and otherwise  $P_{\nu} := y^{\deg(Q)} Q(\frac{x}{y})$  for the irreducible polynomial  $Q$  inducing  $\nu$ .
- We say a curve has quite bad (q. bad) reduction at a place if every quadratic twist also has bad reduction at the same place.

## Theorem (Helgott)

*Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ . Suppose  $M_{\mathcal{E}} \neq 1$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{E}$  has a point of multiplicative reduction). Suppose further that Hypothesis  $\mathcal{A}$  holds for  $B_{\mathcal{E}}$  and Hypothesis  $\mathcal{B}$  holds for  $M_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Then the strong average over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $W(E_t)$  of the fibres exists and is 0.*

# Proof of Density Results for Fixed Area

- In our case, the relevant constants are

$$c_4 = \frac{16n^2(m^2 - m + 1)(m^2 + m + 1)}{m^2},$$

$$c_6 = \frac{-32n^3(m - 1)(m + 1)(m^2 + 2)(2m^2 + 1)}{m^3},$$

$$\Delta = \frac{16n^6(m^2 + 1)^2}{m^2}.$$

# Proof of Density Results for Fixed Area

- In our case, the relevant constants are

$$c_4 = \frac{16n^2(m^2 - m + 1)(m^2 + m + 1)}{m^2},$$

$$c_6 = \frac{-32n^3(m - 1)(m + 1)(m^2 + 2)(2m^2 + 1)}{m^3},$$

$$\Delta = \frac{16n^6(m^2 + 1)^2}{m^2}.$$

- We find that  $M_{\mathcal{E}} = x^2 + y^2$  and  $B_{\mathcal{E}} = xy(x^2 + y^2)$ .

# Proof of Density Results for Fixed Area

- In our case, the relevant constants are

$$c_4 = \frac{16n^2(m^2 - m + 1)(m^2 + m + 1)}{m^2},$$

$$c_6 = \frac{-32n^3(m - 1)(m + 1)(m^2 + 2)(2m^2 + 1)}{m^3},$$

$$\Delta = \frac{16n^6(m^2 + 1)^2}{m^2}.$$

- We find that  $M_{\mathcal{E}} = x^2 + y^2$  and  $B_{\mathcal{E}} = xy(x^2 + y^2)$ .
- Both hypotheses are unconditional for these polynomials, so the average root number is unconditionally zero.

## Conjectures on Rank 0 Twists

### Conjecture (Goldfeld)

*For any family of quadratic twists, the proportion of curves with rank 0 is 50% and the proportion of curves with rank 1 is 50%.*

## Conjectures on Rank 0 Twists

### Conjecture (Goldfeld)

*For any family of quadratic twists, the proportion of curves with rank 0 is 50% and the proportion of curves with rank 1 is 50%.*

### Theorem (Ono-Skinner)

*If  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is an elliptic curve, then*

$$\#\{|D| \leq X : \text{rk}(E(D)) = 0\} \gg_E \frac{X}{\log X}.$$



## Conjectures on Rank 0 Twists

### Conjecture (Goldfeld)

*For any family of quadratic twists, the proportion of curves with rank 0 is 50% and the proportion of curves with rank 1 is 50%.*

### Theorem (Ono-Skinner)

*If  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is an elliptic curve, then*

$$\#\{|D| \leq X : \text{rk}(E(D)) = 0\} \gg_E \frac{X}{\log X}.$$

### Conjecture (Density)

*Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$  and generic rank  $n$ . Then only a zero proportion of fibers have rank at least  $n + 2$ .*

## Conjectural Density of Non-congruent pairs

### Conjecture (R)

*For each positive, square-free integer  $n$ ,  $(n, m)$  is not a congruent pair for a positive proportion of angles  $m$ .*

# Conjectural Density of Non-congruent pairs

## Conjecture (R)

*For each positive, square-free integer  $n$ ,  $(n, m)$  is not a congruent pair for a positive proportion of angles  $m$ .*

Table: Ranks for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, 100$

	rank=0	1	2	$\geq 3$
n=1	48	46	6	0
n=2	50	45	5	0
n=3	43	50	7	0
n=4	46	48	6	0
n=5	38	49	13	0

## Conclusion

- For each positive rational  $m > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$  we have a generalization of the congruent number problem.

## Conclusion

- For each positive rational  $m > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$  we have a generalization of the congruent number problem.
- A similar elliptic curve criterion holds in general as in the classical case.

## Conclusion

- For each positive rational  $m > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$  we have a generalization of the congruent number problem.
- A similar elliptic curve criterion holds in general as in the classical case.
- We computed the torsion subgroups for each curve.

## Conclusion

- For each positive rational  $m > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$  we have a generalization of the congruent number problem.
- A similar elliptic curve criterion holds in general as in the classical case.
- We computed the torsion subgroups for each curve.
- Assuming the finiteness of  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ , we proved a density result on the number of congruent numbers when the angle or the area is fixed.