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## 1. Properties of vector spaces

Last time, we introduced the new notion of a vector space, an algebraic structure central to the theory of linear algebra. We saw a few examples of such objects. Right now, we want to build up some more theory about them. We begin with a few basic properties. Throughout, $V$ will always denote a vector space

Lemma. If $V$ is a vector space and $u, v, w$ are vectors such that $u+w=v+w$, then $u=v$.

Proof. By the axioms defining vector spaces, there is an additive inverse $x$ for $w$ such that $w+x=0$. Thus,

$$
u=u+0=u+(w+x)=(u+w)+x=(v+w)+x=v+(w+x)=v+0=v .
$$

Lemma. If $v \in V$ is such that $v+u=u$ for all $u \in V$, then $v=0$.
Proof. In particular, there is a $0 \in V$ for all vector spaces $V$, and thus by assumption $v+0=0=0+0$. By the last lemma, we can cancel the 0's on the right hand sides of both to get $v=0$.

Lemma. For any $v \in V$, the additive inverse $w$ for which $v+w=0$ is unique.
Proof. This follows by an identical proof as the proof of uniqueness of inverses of matrices. Namely, if $v+w=0$, then $v+w=w+v=0$ (since vector addition is commutative), and we suppose that there is another inverse $w^{\prime} \in V$ with $v+w^{\prime}=w^{\prime}+v=0$. Thus,

$$
w=w+0=w+\left(v+w^{\prime}\right)=w+v+w^{\prime}=(w+v)+w^{\prime}=0+w^{\prime}=w^{\prime} .
$$

A few other properties, whose proofs all follow quickly from the definitions, are given in the following result.

Lemma. The following hold for any vector space $V$.
(1) The scalar product $0 v=0$ for all $v \in V$.

[^0](2) For any scalar $c \in F$, the scalar product with the 0 vector is 0 , i.e., $c 0=0$.
(3) If $c \in F$ and $v \in V$ with $c v=0$, then $c=0$ or $v=0$.

## 2. Subspaces

There is one particularly important type of vector space which will come up constantly for us.

Definition. A subspace of a vector space $V$ is a subspace $W$ if $W$ is a vector space under the same vector addition and scalar multiplication operations as $V$.
Example. The vector space $V$ is always a subspace of $V$ (a set is considered a subset of itself). If we want to avoid this situation, we can call a proper subspace any subspace $W$ which is strictly smaller than $V$ (i.e., there is some vector $v \in V$ which is not in $W$ ). At the other extreme, we always have the trivial subspace $\{0\}$, for which all the vector space axioms are, well, trivial.
Example. Subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are "flat" geometric objects passing through the origin. Note that this is strictly necessary, as all vector spaces must have a zero, and this zero has to be the zero of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (origin). So, for example, the subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are: $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ itself, planes passing through the origin, lines passing through the origin, and the singleton $\{0\}$.

If we have a vector space $V$ and a subset $W$, to check whether $W$ is a subspace or not by checking all 10 vector space axioms is silly, even though this is the direct definition. Several of these axioms automatically hold; for example, all sums of two elements in $V$ commute, then since $W$ is a subset of $V$ and the vector addition operation on a possible subspace is by definition the same as that for $V$, addition is automatically commutative on every subset of $W$. Subsets which aren't subspaces include the example above of any subset not containing 0 , as well as sets which aren't closed under addition (for example the subset $\{i+j\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ ), and subsets not closed under scalar multiplication (for example, $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a subset which is closed under addition (the sum of two integers is an integer) and contains 0 , but it isn't closed under scalar multiplication as $\pi$ isn't an integer). It turns out that these are the only restrictions. Although it is not difficult, we omit the details of the following result which is frequently convenient.
Theorem. If $V$ is a vector space and $W$ is a subset of $V$, then $W$ is a subspace if and only if the following hold:
(1) $0 \in W$.
(2) $u+v \in W$ for all $u, v \in W$
(3) $c v \in W$ for all $c \in F, v \in W$.

Example. The set of solutions to the equation $A x=0$ for an $m \times n$ matrix $A$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This is called the nullspace or kernel of $A$, denoted $\operatorname{ker}(A)$. To check it is a subspace, note that (1) is satisfied as $A 0=0$, so that $0 \in \operatorname{ker}(A)$, and if $x, y \in \operatorname{ker}(A)$, then $A(x+y)=A x+A y=0+0=0$, so that $(x+y) \in \operatorname{ker}(A)$. Finally, if $x \in \operatorname{ker}(A)$, then $A(c x)=c(A x)=c 0=0$, so that (3) holds.

Example. It is easy to check that a line through the origin is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, say. For example, if the line is the set of points $\{c v: c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for some non-zero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ (recall our earlier lecture about equations of lines and planes), then clearly 0 is in this set, it is closed under addition $c v+c^{\prime} v=\left(c+c^{\prime}\right) v$, and it is closed under scalar multiplication as $c^{\prime}(c v)=\left(c^{\prime} c\right) v$.

Example. The set of even polynomials over $\mathbb{R}$, those for which $f(x)=f(-x)$, is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, denote the set of even polynomials by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$. Then clearly $0 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, if $f, g$ are even polynomials then $(f+g)(-x)=f(-x)+g(-x)=f(x)+g(x)=$ $(f+g)(x)$ so that $f+g$ is even, and if $f$ is even and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(c f)(-x)=c(f(-x))=$ $c f(x)=(c f)(x)$, so the polynomial $c f$ is even too.

Example. The space of polynomials $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$, considered as functions of one real variable, is a subspace of the set of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$, since it is a vector space under the same operations as $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ and it is clearly contained in it (i.e., every polynomial is smooth).

Example. The set of all $n \times n$ real matrices with non-zero entries isn't a subspace of $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ as it doesn't contain the 0 matrix. For the same reason, the set of invertible $n \times n$ matrices is also not a subspace.

Example. The set of all upper triangular matrices (i.e., those with all zeros below the main diagonal) of size $n \times n$ with real entries is a subspace of $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace. Indeed, this clearly follows from basic matrix arithmetic. Another interesting subspace is given as follows. Define the trace of a matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, denoted $\operatorname{tr}(A)$, as the sum of its diagonal entries, that is,

$$
\operatorname{tr}(A)=A_{11}+A_{22}+\ldots+A_{n n}
$$

Then the set of elements with trace 0 is a subspace. Indeed, this is clear since 0 has trace 0 , and since $\operatorname{tr}(A+B)=\operatorname{tr}(A)+\operatorname{tr}(B), c \cdot \operatorname{tr}(A)=\operatorname{tr}(c A)$ for all matrices $A, B$ and all $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example. Recall that $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is the field with two elements. There are exactly 5 subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$. They are
$\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}, \quad\{(0,0),(0,1)\}, \quad\{(0,0),(1,0)\}, \quad\{(0,0),(1,1)\}, 0=\{(0,0)\}$.
Its not a bad idea to check for yourself that these are indeed all subspaces!
Example. A way to build new subspaces from old is to consider their intersection. Namely, if $V_{i}$ are a bunch of vector spaces, then the intersection (set of common vectors belonging to all of them) is a subspace. For example, in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the intersection of two planes through the origin might be a line (through the origin of course), or it might be the whole plane, and the intersection of a line through the origin with a plane through the origin may be the trivial subspace $\{0\}$ or it might be the whole line itself.

Example. The union of vector spaces is not always a vector space. For example, the $x$ and $y$-axes of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ are subspace, but the union, namely the set of points on both lines, isn't a vector space as for example, the unit vectors $i, j$ are in this union, but $i+j$ isn't.

Example. The set of all upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices with trace zero is a vector space, as it is the intersection of the subspaces of upper triangular matrices with the subspace of trace zero matrices in the vector space $M_{n \times n}$.


[^0]:    Date: October 27, 2016.

