

# $L$ -functions for Harmonic Maass Forms

Larry Rolen

Vanderbilt University

October 22, 2023

# Modular forms

- Functions on  $\mathbb{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ .

# Modular forms

- Functions on  $\mathbb{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ .
- Slash action:  $f|_k\gamma(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k}f((a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d))$ .

# Modular forms

- Functions on  $\mathbb{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ .
- Slash action:  $f|_k\gamma(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k}f((a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d))$ .
- Modularity:
  - ①  $f|_k\gamma = f \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
  - ② + growth conditions (classical: holomorphic at “cusps”)
  - ③ + analytic conditions (classical: holomorphic)

# Modular forms

- Functions on  $\mathbb{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ .
- Slash action:  $f|_k \gamma(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} f((a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d))$ .
- Modularity:
  - ①  $f|_k \gamma = f \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
  - ② + growth conditions (classical: holomorphic at “cusps”)
  - ③ + analytic conditions (classical: holomorphic)
- New Quanta article: <https://tinyurl.com/vv8mcjuw>

# Modular forms

- Functions on  $\mathbb{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ .
- Slash action:  $f|_k\gamma(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k}f((a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d))$ .
- Modularity:
  - 1  $f|_k\gamma = f \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
  - 2 + growth conditions (classical: holomorphic at “cusps”)
  - 3 + analytic conditions (classical: holomorphic)
- New Quanta article: <https://tinyurl.com/vv8mcjuw>
- “Algebra” of adjectives: weakly, quasi, meromorphic, almost...

# L-functions for classical modular forms

- Cusp form  $f(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n \in S_k(N) \rightsquigarrow$  *Dirichlet series*

# L-functions for classical modular forms

- Cusp form  $f(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n \in S_k(N) \rightsquigarrow$  Dirichlet series

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n)n^{-s}, \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0).$$



# L-functions for classical modular forms

- Cusp form  $f(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n \in S_k(N) \rightsquigarrow$  Dirichlet series

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n)n^{-s}, \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0).$$

- Completion:  $\Lambda_f(s) := N^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-2s}\Gamma(s)L_f(s)$ .

# L-functions for classical modular forms

- Cusp form  $f(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n \in S_k(N) \rightsquigarrow$  Dirichlet series

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n)n^{-s}, \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0).$$

- Completion:  $\Lambda_f(s) := N^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-2s}\Gamma(s)L_f(s)$ .
- This analytically continues to  $\mathbb{C}$  with functional equation:

$$\Lambda_f(s) = \pm \Lambda_f(k - s).$$

# L-functions for classical modular forms

- Cusp form  $f(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n \in S_k(N) \rightsquigarrow$  Dirichlet series

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_f(n)n^{-s}, \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0).$$

- Completion:  $\Lambda_f(s) := N^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-2s}\Gamma(s)L_f(s)$ .
- This analytically continues to  $\mathbb{C}$  with functional equation:

$$\Lambda_f(s) = \pm \Lambda_f(k - s).$$

- If  $f \in M_k(N)$  (e.g., Eisenstein series), subtract constant term.

## Forms with exponential growth

- In combinatorics, physics, enumerative geometry, often encounter functions with exp. growth at the cusps.

## Forms with exponential growth

- In combinatorics, physics, enumerative geometry, often encounter functions with exp. growth at the cusps.
- Weakly holomorphic modular forms ( $M_k^!(N)$ ): Like  $M_k(N)$ , but may have a “pole” at the cusps.

## Forms with exponential growth

- In combinatorics, physics, enumerative geometry, often encounter functions with exp. growth at the cusps.
- Weakly holomorphic modular forms ( $M_k^!(N)$ ): Like  $M_k(N)$ , but may have a “pole” at the cusps.
- Harmonic Maass forms ( $H_k(N)$ ): like WHMFs, but in kernel of “Laplacian” instead of holomorphic.

## Forms with exponential growth

- In combinatorics, physics, enumerative geometry, often encounter functions with exp. growth at the cusps.
- Weakly holomorphic modular forms ( $M_k^!(N)$ ): Like  $M_k(N)$ , but may have a “pole” at the cusps.
- Harmonic Maass forms ( $H_k(N)$ ): like WHMFs, but in kernel of “Laplacian” instead of holomorphic. Splitting:  

$$\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n)q^n + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n)\Gamma(1 - k, -4\pi nv)q^n$$
, where  

$$\Gamma(s, z) := \int_z^{i\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt.$$

## Forms with exponential growth

- In combinatorics, physics, enumerative geometry, often encounter functions with exp. growth at the cusps.
- Weakly holomorphic modular forms ( $M_k^!(N)$ ): Like  $M_k(N)$ , but may have a “pole” at the cusps.
- Harmonic Maass forms ( $H_k(N)$ ): like WHMFs, but in kernel of “Laplacian” instead of holomorphic. Splitting:  

$$\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n)q^n + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n)\Gamma(1-k, -4\pi nv)q^n$$
, where  

$$\Gamma(s, z) := \int_z^{i\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt.$$
- HMFs have “shadows.” The map  $\xi_{2-k}: H_{2-k} \rightarrow S_k$  given by  $2i \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^k \overline{\partial/\partial \bar{\tau}}$  is surjective.



## Forms with exponential growth

- In combinatorics, physics, enumerative geometry, often encounter functions with exp. growth at the cusps.
- Weakly holomorphic modular forms ( $M_k^!(N)$ ): Like  $M_k(N)$ , but may have a “pole” at the cusps.
- Harmonic Maass forms ( $H_k(N)$ ): like WHMFs, but in kernel of “Laplacian” instead of holomorphic. Splitting:  

$$\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n)q^n + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n)\Gamma(1-k, -4\pi nv)q^n$$
, where  

$$\Gamma(s, z) := \int_z^{i\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt.$$
- HMFs have “shadows.” The map  $\xi_{2-k}: H_{2-k} \rightarrow S_k$  given by  $2i \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^k \overline{\partial/\partial \bar{\tau}}$  is surjective. Finding preimages related to big problems: Lehmer’s Conjecture, ranks of elliptic curves...

## Forms with exponential growth

- In combinatorics, physics, enumerative geometry, often encounter functions with exp. growth at the cusps.
- Weakly holomorphic modular forms ( $M_k^!(N)$ ): Like  $M_k(N)$ , but may have a “pole” at the cusps.
- Harmonic Maass forms ( $H_k(N)$ ): like WHMFs, but in kernel of “Laplacian” instead of holomorphic. Splitting:  

$$\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n)q^n + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n)\Gamma(1-k, -4\pi nv)q^n$$
, where  

$$\Gamma(s, z) := \int_z^{i\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt.$$
- HMFs have “shadows.” The map  $\xi_{2-k}: H_{2-k} \rightarrow S_k$  given by  $2i \operatorname{Im}(\tau)^k \overline{\partial/\partial \bar{\tau}}$  is surjective. Finding preimages related to big problems: Lehmer’s Conjecture, ranks of elliptic curves...
- Brown’s modular iterated integrals: repeated primitives of WHMFs under  $\partial_\tau, \partial_{\bar{\tau}}$ .

## $L$ -functions for forms with exp. growth?

- For WHMFs, HMFs, etc., the poles at the cusp give (sub)-exponential growth of Fourier coefficients.

# L-functions for forms with exp. growth?

- For WHMFs, HMFs, etc., the poles at the cusp give (sub)-exponential growth of Fourier coefficients.
- Bringmann-Fricke-Kent ( $f \in M_k^!$ ): For  $t_0 > 0$ , define

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma(s, 2\pi n t_0)}{(2\pi n)^s} + i^k \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi n}{t_0}\right)}{(2\pi n)^{k-s}}.$$

## L-functions for forms with exp. growth?

- For WHMFs, HMFs, etc., the poles at the cusp give (sub)-exponential growth of Fourier coefficients.
- Bringmann-Fricke-Kent ( $f \in M_k^!$ ): For  $t_0 > 0$ , define

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma(s, 2\pi n t_0)}{(2\pi n)^s} + i^k \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi n}{t_0}\right)}{(2\pi n)^{k-s}}.$$

- They used this to further “explain” generalizations of Eichler-Shimura period polynomial theory to HMF theory.

# L-functions for forms with exp. growth?

- For WHMFs, HMFs, etc., the poles at the cusp give (sub)-exponential growth of Fourier coefficients.
- Bringmann-Fricke-Kent ( $f \in M_k^!$ ): For  $t_0 > 0$ , define

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma(s, 2\pi n t_0)}{(2\pi n)^s} + i^k \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi n}{t_0}\right)}{(2\pi n)^{k-s}}.$$

- They used this to further “explain” generalizations of Eichler-Shimura period polynomial theory to HMF theory.
- No construction was known for other HMFs with exp. growth.

# L-functions for forms with exp. growth?

- For WHMFs, HMFs, etc., the poles at the cusp give (sub)-exponential growth of Fourier coefficients.
- Bringmann-Fricke-Kent ( $f \in M_k^!$ ): For  $t_0 > 0$ , define

$$L_f(s) := \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma(s, 2\pi n t_0)}{(2\pi n)^s} + i^k \sum_{\substack{n \gg -\infty \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_f(n) \Gamma\left(k - s, \frac{2\pi n}{t_0}\right)}{(2\pi n)^{k-s}}.$$

- They used this to further “explain” generalizations of Eichler-Shimura period polynomial theory to HMF theory.
- No construction was known for other HMFs with exp. growth.
- This is “symmetrized” so functional equation is “baked in.”

## Workaround: Use test functions

- Diamantis-Lee-Raji-R. ( $f \in H_k$ ): Using the Laplace transform  $(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(u) := \int_0^\infty e^{-ut}\varphi(t)dt$ , set

$$L_f(\varphi) := \sum_{n \geq -n_0} c_f^+(n)(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(2\pi n)$$



## Workaround: Use test functions

- Diamantis-Lee-Raji-R. ( $f \in H_k$ ): Using the Laplace transform  $(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(u) := \int_0^\infty e^{-ut}\varphi(t)dt$ , set

$$L_f(\varphi) := \sum_{n \geq -n_0} c_f^+(n)(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(2\pi n) + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n)(-4\pi n)^{1-k} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\mathcal{L}\varphi_{2-k})(-2\pi n(2t+1))}{(1+t)^k} dt.$$

## Workaround: Use test functions

- Diamantis-Lee-Raji-R. ( $f \in H_k$ ): Using the Laplace transform  $(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(u) := \int_0^\infty e^{-ut}\varphi(t)dt$ , set

$$L_f(\varphi) := \sum_{n \geq -n_0} c_f^+(n)(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(2\pi n) + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n)(-4\pi n)^{1-k} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\mathcal{L}\varphi_{2-k})(-2\pi n(2t+1))}{(1+t)^k} dt.$$

- Recovering previous:

$$L_f(s) = \begin{cases} L_f((2\pi)^s x^{s-1}/\Gamma(s)) & \text{if } f(\tau) \in S_k, \\ L_f(\chi_{x \geq t_0}(2\pi)^s x^{s-1}/\Gamma(s)) & \text{if } f(\tau) \in M_k^!. \end{cases}$$

## Analogue of classical results

Theorem (Converse Theorem, D-L-R-R, 2023)

*Suppose  $f$  has a Fourier expansion of the shape above.*

## Analogues of classical results

Theorem (Converse Theorem, D-L-R-R, 2023)

Suppose  $f$  has a Fourier expansion of the shape above. Set

$$f'(\tau) := \tau \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + \frac{k}{2} f(\tau).$$

## Analogues of classical results

Theorem (Converse Theorem, D-L-R-R, 2023)

Suppose  $f$  has a Fourier expansion of the shape above. Set  $f'(\tau) := \tau \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + \frac{k}{2} f(\tau)$ . Then explicit functional equations sending  $\varphi(x) \mapsto \varphi(x)|_{2-k} W_N$  under the Fricke involution  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{M}^{-1} \\ \sqrt{M} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , for a finite set of Dirichlet character twisted L-functions of  $f$  and  $f'$ , imply that  $f \in H_k(\Gamma)$ .

## Analogues of classical results

### Theorem (Converse Theorem, D-L-R-R, 2023)

Suppose  $f$  has a Fourier expansion of the shape above. Set  $f'(\tau) := \tau \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + \frac{k}{2} f(\tau)$ . Then explicit functional equations sending  $\varphi(x) \mapsto \varphi(x)|_{2-k} W_N$  under the Fricke involution  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{M}^{-1} \\ \sqrt{M} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , for a finite set of Dirichlet character twisted L-functions of  $f$  and  $f'$ , imply that  $f \in H_k(\Gamma)$ .

### Theorem (Summation Formula, D-L-R-R, 2023)

If  $F \in S_k$  with shadow  $g$ , then for “good” test functions  $\varphi$ ,

$$\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_F^+(n) \mathcal{I}(\varphi) = \sum_{n > 0} \overline{a_g(n)} \mathcal{J}(\varphi).$$

## Analogues of classical results

### Theorem (Converse Theorem, D-L-R-R, 2023)

Suppose  $f$  has a Fourier expansion of the shape above. Set  $f'(\tau) := \tau \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + \frac{k}{2} f(\tau)$ . Then explicit functional equations sending  $\varphi(x) \mapsto \varphi(x)|_{2-k} W_N$  under the Fricke involution  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{M}^{-1} \\ \sqrt{M} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , for a finite set of Dirichlet character twisted L-functions of  $f$  and  $f'$ , imply that  $f \in H_k(\Gamma)$ .

### Theorem (Summation Formula, D-L-R-R, 2023)

If  $F \in S_k$  with shadow  $g$ , then for “good” test functions  $\varphi$ ,

$$\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_F^+(n) \mathcal{I}(\varphi) = \sum_{n > 0} \overline{a_g(n)} \mathcal{J}(\varphi).$$

Here  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are explicit integral transforms.

# Applications

- This gives a way to sidestep “regularization” procedures.



# Applications

- This gives a way to sidestep “regularization” procedures.
- Gives a method to produce operators between HMF spaces.

# Applications

- This gives a way to sidestep “regularization” procedures.
- Gives a method to produce operators between HMF spaces.
- For instance, the Shimura lift was first discovered by using Converse Theroem+Rankin-Selberg.

# Applications

- This gives a way to sidestep “regularization” procedures.
- Gives a method to produce operators between HMF spaces.
- For instance, the Shimura lift was first discovered by using Converse Theorem+Rankin-Selberg.
- The summation formula strongly couples “classical” MF coefficients to “mysterious” mock coefficients.

## Further directions

- This gives new structure to explore. It works in other cases; October 2023: Drewitt-Pimm did for Brown's functions.

## Further directions

- This gives new structure to explore. It works in other cases; October 2023: Drewitt-Pimm did for Brown's functions.
- What about eigenforms? Guerzhoy gave WHMF eigenforms.

## Further directions

- This gives new structure to explore. It works in other cases; October 2023: Drewitt-Pimm did for Brown's functions.
- What about eigenforms? Guerzhoy gave WHMF eigenforms.
- For these, Diamantis-Drewitt proved a Manin period theorem-style algebraicity result for critical  $L$ -values of certain weakly holomorphic modular forms.

## Further directions

- This gives new structure to explore. It works in other cases; October 2023: Drewitt-Pimm did for Brown's functions.
- What about eigenforms? Guerzhoy gave WHMF eigenforms.
- For these, Diamantis-Drewitt proved a Manin period theorem-style algebraicity result for critical  $L$ -values of certain weakly holomorphic modular forms.
- Brown has further conjectures of "motivicness."

## Example of producing modular operators using Converse Theorem

- In integral weight, the shadow has a “friend”:  $D^{k-1}$  the  $(k - 1)$ -fold  $\tau$  derivative.



## Example of producing modular operators using Converse Theorem

- In integral weight, the shadow has a “friend”:  $D^{k-1}$  the  $(k-1)$ -fold  $\tau$  derivative.
- Key intertwining:  $D^{k-1}(f|_{2-k}\gamma) = (D^{k-1}f)|_k\gamma$ .

## Example of producing modular operators using Converse Theorem

- In integral weight, the shadow has a “friend”:  $D^{k-1}$  the  $(k-1)$ -fold  $\tau$  derivative.
- Key intertwining:  $D^{k-1}(f|_{2-k}\gamma) = (D^{k-1}f)|_k\gamma$ .
- By Converse and *direct* theorems, modularity-preserving property of  $D^{k-1}$  is **equivalent** to an identity on test functions

## Example of producing modular operators using Converse Theorem

- In integral weight, the shadow has a “friend”:  $D^{k-1}$  the  $(k-1)$ -fold  $\tau$  derivative.
- Key intertwining:  $D^{k-1}(f|_{2-k}\gamma) = (D^{k-1}f)|_k\gamma$ .
- By Converse and *direct* theorems, modularity-preserving property of  $D^{k-1}$  is **equivalent** to an identity on test functions

$$\alpha(\varphi)|_{2-k}W_N = -\alpha(\varphi|_kW_N); \quad \alpha(\varphi) := \mathcal{L}^{-1}(u^{k-1}(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(u))$$

## Example of producing modular operators using Converse Theorem

- In integral weight, the shadow has a “friend”:  $D^{k-1}$  the  $(k-1)$ -fold  $\tau$  derivative.
- Key intertwining:  $D^{k-1}(f|_{2-k}\gamma) = (D^{k-1}f)|_k\gamma$ .
- By Converse and *direct* theorems, modularity-preserving property of  $D^{k-1}$  is **equivalent** to an identity on test functions

$$\alpha(\varphi)|_{2-k}W_N = -\alpha(\varphi|_kW_N); \quad \alpha(\varphi) := \mathcal{L}^{-1}(u^{k-1}(\mathcal{L}\varphi)(u))$$

- Using special function identities, this boils down to relation for the modified  $J$ -Bessel function:

$$J_{-k}(x) = (-1)^k J_k(x).$$

## Half-integral weight?

| $k$  | Bessel function relation   |
|--|--|
| $\mathbb{Z}$                                 | $J_{-k}(x) = (-1)^k J_k(x)$  |
| $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$                   | $J_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left( P_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sin x - Q_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cos x \right),$ $J_{-k}(x) = (-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left( P_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cos x + Q_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sin x \right),$ for polynomials $P_k(x), Q_k(x)$ |
| $\mathbb{R} \setminus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ | No simple relation.  |

# Half-integral weight?

| $k$  | Bessel function relation   |
|--|--|
| $\mathbb{Z}$                                 | $J_{-k}(x) = (-1)^k J_k(x)$  |
| $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$                   | $J_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left( P_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sin x - Q_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cos x \right),$ $J_{-k}(x) = (-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left( P_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cos x + Q_k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sin x \right),$ for polynomials $P_k(x), Q_k(x)$ |
| $\mathbb{R} \setminus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ | No simple relation.  |

- Branch-Diamantis-Raji-R., 2023: Construct a cohomology class with coefficients in a **finite-dimensional** vector space for half-integral weight cusp forms.

## Special L-values

- Before the L-functions, Zagier conjectured that  $J(\tau) := j(\tau) - 744$  has “central L-value

$$“L_J(0)” = -2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_i^{i+1} J(\tau) \psi(\tau) d\tau \right),$$

where  $\psi(s) := \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$  is the Euler digamma function.

## Special $L$ -values

- Before the  $L$ -functions, Zagier conjectured that  $J(\tau) := j(\tau) - 744$  has “central  $L$ -value

$$“L_J(0)” = -2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_i^{i+1} J(\tau) \psi(\tau) d\tau \right),$$

where  $\psi(s) := \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$  is the Euler digamma function.

- Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoglu gave a geometric proof.



## Special L-values

- Before the L-functions, Zagier conjectured that  $J(\tau) := j(\tau) - 744$  has “central L-value

$$“L_J(0)” = -2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_i^{i+1} J(\tau) \psi(\tau) d\tau \right),$$

where  $\psi(s) := \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$  is the Euler digamma function.

- Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoglu gave a geometric proof.
- As they point out, this is very similar to formulas for critical L-values of modular forms expressed as cohomological periods of forms over “*spectacle cycles*.”

## Special L-values

- Before the L-functions, Zagier conjectured that  $J(\tau) := j(\tau) - 744$  has “central L-value

$$“L_J(0)” = -2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_i^{i+1} J(\tau) \psi(\tau) d\tau \right),$$

where  $\psi(s) := \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$  is the Euler digamma function.

- Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoglu gave a geometric proof.
- As they point out, this is very similar to formulas for critical L-values of modular forms expressed as cohomological periods of forms over “*spectacle cycles*.”
- Diamantis-Rolen 2022: Full framework for such formulas, using our general L-function technology (Hurwitz+Lerch  $\zeta$ ...)

THANK YOU!!!

