

Galois groups of polys.

Recall: Gal (fixed. poly. of degn) is a transitive subgroup of S_n (can get from any root to another).

Q: How many ~~gen~~ # fields, up ordered by discriminant have different Galois groups?

E.g. "Country" D_2 Galois group, $l = \text{prime} \Leftrightarrow$ Cohen-Jacobson (Athens-Athens recently)

Will see "why" most $\#$ polys have deg Gal. gp. = S_n .
 Def: x_1, \dots, x_n are indeterminates (I have a record on An. yps).

elementary symmetric fns:

$$S_1 = x_1 + \dots + x_n$$

$$S_2 = x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + \dots + x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + \dots + x_{n-1} x_n$$

\vdots

$$S_n = x_1 \dots x_n$$

ie., $S_i =$ sum of all prods of i many x_j 's.

Note they are S_n -invariant

Def: General polynomial of degree n

$\prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i)$, ie. monic, with roots x_1, \dots, x_n
 (ie., how polys look over splitting fields)

Connection: roots of gen. poly. are elem. sym fns

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x^n - S_1 x^{n-1} + S_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n$$

(prove by induction).

E.g: \sum roots \approx second highest term (trace)
 (1) \prod roots \approx constant term (norm).

∴, for any poly field F ,

$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) / F(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is Galois, as it's the splitting field of G .

S_n acts on by permuting roots, i.e., the subscripts of x_1, \dots, x_n .

∴ we get an automorphism by each permutation on the x_i s which gives a map:

$$S_n \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(F(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

So $S_n \cong$ a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. [↑] ratl poly.

The elem. symm. poly s_1, \dots, s_n are fixed by the S_n -action by choice.

Thus, the subfield $F(s_1, \dots, s_n) \subseteq$ fixed field of S_n

Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory $\Rightarrow [F(x_1, \dots, x_n) : F(s_1, \dots, s_n)] = n!$ ^{fixed field of S_n}

$= n!$ Now, as $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the splitting field of $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ over $F(s_1, \dots, s_n)$,

$$[F(x_1, \dots, x_n) : F(s_1, \dots, s_n)] \leq n!$$

Thus, this is an equality, and fixed $F(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is the splitting field!

∴ So the ratl poly in x_1, \dots, x_n invariant under S_n are just the ratl poly in the elem. symm. poly.

if a f^s is called symmetric.

This was the fund. Thⁿ on symmetric f^s .

N.B.: S_n -invariant polys are actually polynomials (not just rath f^s) in s_1, \dots, s_n .

Ex.: 1). $(x_1 - x_2)^2$ is symmetric

So it must be a poly. in s_1, s_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= x_1 + x_2 & f &= (x_1 - x_2)^2 = x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2 \\ s_2 &= x_1 \cdot x_2 \end{aligned}$$

leading terms $x_1^2 + x_2^2$. Start w $s_1^2 = (x_1 + x_2)^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1x_2$

$$f - s_1^2 = -2x_1x_2 - 2x_1x_2 = -4x_1x_2 = -4s_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{f = s_1^2 - 4s_2}$$

2). $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ is symmetric

$$s_1 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$$

match squares:

$$s_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3$$

$$s_3 = x_1x_2x_3$$

$$s_1^2 - f = -2x_1x_2 - 2x_1x_3 - 2x_2x_3 = -2s_2$$

$$\Rightarrow f = s_1^2 - 2s_2$$

This is a simple instance of a very useful result
the Newton-Girard identities.

k th power sum: $p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$

These are symmetric, so they must be expressible in power elements - symm. fns

$$p_1 = e_1$$

$$p_2 = e_1 p_1 - 2e_2 = e_1^2 - 2e_2 \leftarrow \text{same for } n=3 \dots$$

$$p_3 = e_1 p_2 - e_2 p_1 + 3e_3 = e_1(e_1 p_1 - 2e_2) - e_2 p_1 + 3e_3$$

$$= e_1^2 p_1 - 2e_1 e_2 - e_2 p_1 + 3e_3$$

\therefore general.

$$= e_1^3 - 2e_1 e_2 - e_1 e_2 + 3e_3$$

$P_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$p_k = (-1)^{k-1} k e_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i} e_{k-i} p_i$$

Can also go backwards:

$$k e_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} e_{k-i} p_i$$

Thus, the roots of symmetric fns is also gen. by the p_i 's
 Faster way to realize this: generating fns!

$$E(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} e_k t^k = \prod (1 + x_i t) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{work in vars} \\ x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots \\ t \rightarrow \infty \end{array}$$

$$P(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} p_k t^k = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{x_i t}{1 - x_i t} = t \frac{E'(t)}{E(-t)}$$

~~all~~ All this is useful in locating roots of the whole fns

coming to Galois theory,

starting with poly. $x^n - s_1 x^{n-1} + s_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n s_n$
over $F(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, and defining the roots to be x_1, \dots, x_n ,
then the s_i are the elementary symmetric p^{th} in the
roots x_i . These x_i are also independents, i.e.,
there are no rel^m over F in among them.

To see this, suppose $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a non-zero poly. / F
s.t. $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$. Take the product, call it (F_p)
of $p(\sigma x_1, \dots, \sigma x_n)$ over all $\sigma \in S_n$. This is a
non-zero symmetric poly. $\odot \tilde{p}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$.
This gives a non-zero poly. rel^m among the s_1, \dots, s_n ,
a contradiction. Conversely, if x_i are the roots of a poly f ,
are independent indeterminates / F , so are the
coeffs of f (cf. § 9 of book). That is, defining $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
as having independent roots and coefficients
is equivalent.

\Rightarrow The general poly $x^n - s_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n s_n$
over $F(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is separable \Leftrightarrow Galois group S_n .

Thus, if there are no rel^m among the coeffs of

a poly, then the Galois group over the field
 gen. by the coeffs. is the full symmetric gp.

Thus, "most" polys should have S_n Galois gp.

(~~not~~ natural S_n over finite fields, so all polys coeffs) but does give a good heuristic for # fields.)

Classification of Galois for small deg. polys.

A_n is different as only normal subgroup of S_n is A_n .

Whether you live in A_n or not:

Discriminants: $D = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2$ x_1, \dots, x_n

Discriminant of poly = disc of roots.

D is symmetric, so in $K = F(s_1, \dots, s_n)$.

Recall: $\sigma \in S_n$ is an even perm. iff it fixes

$$\sqrt{D} = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j).$$

Thus, as long as $\text{char } F \neq 2$, \sqrt{D} generates the fixed field of A_n and generates a quadratic extⁿ of K .

~~$\Rightarrow \neq F$ char $F \neq 2$,~~

Classification: sep separable polys of deg ≤ 4 (start)

char $F \neq 2, 3$. (fix over \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{F}_p ; take each irred. factor
 f a monic poly. / F are in a product)

Note: $D = 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ isn't separable (repeated roots), over perfect

Disc. D is symm. in roots \Rightarrow fixed by all of Galois field $\Rightarrow \exists$ is reducible.

$\Rightarrow D \in F$. Roots by the disc $\Rightarrow \sqrt{D} = \prod_{i < j} \alpha_i - \alpha_j$ \sqrt{D} is the splitting field of f .

Prop: Galois gp of f is a subgroup of A_n iff D is a square in F (if f is irreducible)

Pf: $x^2 - 4x + 4 = 0$

$\text{Gal}(K/F) \leq A_n \Leftrightarrow$ all elts. fix \sqrt{D}
 $\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{D} \in F.$

Cases of small degree. Throughout: $\text{char}(F) \neq 2, 3.$

deg 2: $ax^2 + bx + c.$

$D = b^2 - 4ac.$

$b=0 \rightarrow$ roots $\in F \Rightarrow$ deg. 1 ext
 non-sep.

$D \neq 0 \rightarrow$ separable

if $D = \square$ in F , then

deg. 1 ext.

if $D \neq \square$ in F , then Gal of deg. 2.

deg 3: $f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c.$ with gp. $\mathbb{Z}_2.$

$x = y - a/3 \Rightarrow g(y) = y^3 + py + q. \quad p = \frac{3b - a^2}{3}$

DnC: $g(y) = (y - \alpha)(y - \beta)(y - \gamma)$ elliptic curve. $\frac{27(4a^3 - b^2)}{27}$

$\rightarrow g'(y) = g'(y) = (y - \beta)(y - \gamma) = \dots$

$\rightarrow D = (\alpha - \beta)^2(\alpha - \gamma)^2(\beta - \gamma)^2 = -g'(\alpha)g'(\beta)g'(\gamma)$

$$D = -4p^3 - 27q^2$$

un-folding, for $P(x)$,

$$D = a^2 b^2 - 4b^3 - 4a^3 c - 27c^2 + 6abc$$

Cases)

- 1). Reducible. $f(x) = (\text{linear})(\text{quad}) \rightarrow \text{Gal} = \mathbb{Z}_2$
or $f(x) = (\text{lin})^2(\text{quad}) \rightarrow \text{Gal} = \mathbb{Z}_2$
- 2). Irred.

$\text{Gal}(F(\text{root of } P(x))/F) \leq S_3$, order at least

3 \rightarrow is A_3 or S_3 .

It is $A_3 \Leftrightarrow D = \square$ in F .

Ex! $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a = n^2 + n + 7$

then $f(x) = x^3 - ax + a$ is irred.

Gal is gp. A_3 .

Pf. $a \text{ odd} \Rightarrow x^3 - ax + a \equiv x^3 + x + 1 \pmod{2} \Rightarrow$ irred. $\pmod{2}$

$$D = -4(-a)^3 - 27a^2 = a^2(4a - 27)$$

$$4a - 27 = c^2 \rightarrow a = \frac{1}{4}(c^2 + 27)$$

$$c = 2k+1 \rightarrow a = \frac{1}{4}(4k^2 + 4k + 28) = k^2 + k + 7$$

Now $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k^2 + k + 7$ is odd, Disc. = $\square \Rightarrow A_3$.

Read about quartics, quintics, book..