

## 1.1 Definitions and constructions

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group, a **unitary representation** (resp. an **orthogonal representation**) of  $\Gamma$  is a homomorphism  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  (resp.  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ ) from  $\Gamma$  into the unitary (resp. orthogonal) group of a complex (resp. real) Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Example 1.1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and suppose  $\Gamma$  is a group. The **trivial representation** of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by the homomorphism which takes any group element to the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Example 1.1.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group, the **left-regular representation** (resp. **right-regular representation**) is given by  $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Gamma)$ , (resp.  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Gamma)$ ) which is defined by the formula  $\lambda(\gamma)(\sum_{x \in \Gamma} \alpha_x \delta_x) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \alpha_x \delta_{\gamma x}$  (resp.  $\rho(\gamma)(\sum_{x \in \Gamma} \alpha_x \delta_x) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \alpha_x \delta_{x\gamma^{-1}}$ ).

**Example 1.1.4.** More generally, if a group  $\Gamma$  acts on a set  $I$  then there is an associated unitary representation on  $\ell^2 I$  defined by  $\lambda(\gamma)(\sum_{x \in I} \alpha_x \delta_x) = \sum_{x \in I} \alpha_x \delta_{\gamma x}$ . When  $\Sigma < \Gamma$  is a subgroup, then  $\Gamma$  acts by left multiplication on the quotient space  $\Gamma/\Sigma$  and the associated unitary representation is the **quasi-regular representation**.

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a group and  $\Sigma < \Gamma$  is a subgroup. If  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  is a unitary representation, then we obtain the **reduced representation**  $\pi|_{\Sigma}$  of  $\Sigma$  by restricting  $\pi$  to  $\Sigma$ .

Given a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  the **adjoint Hilbert space**  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  is the Hilbert space which agrees with  $\mathcal{H}$  as a set, has scalar multiplication given by  $\lambda \overline{\xi} = \overline{\lambda \xi}$  and whose inner-product is given by  $\langle \overline{\xi}, \overline{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \overline{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}$ .

Given a unitary representation  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  we define the **adjoint representation**  $\overline{\pi} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$  by setting  $\overline{\pi}(\gamma)\overline{\xi} = \overline{\pi(\gamma)\xi}$ . Note that we have the natural identification  $\pi = \overline{\overline{\pi}}$ .

If  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$  is an orthogonal representation where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a real Hilbert space then we will use the convention  $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$  and  $\overline{\pi} = \pi$ .

If  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$  are two unitary representations, then  $\pi$  is contained in  $\rho$  if there is an isometry  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have that  $U\pi(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)U$ . If  $U$  can be chosen to be a unitary from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$  then the representations are isomorphic and we will write  $\pi \cong \rho$ .

Given a family of unitary representations  $\pi_{\iota} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\iota})$ , with  $\iota \in I$ , the **direct-sum representation** is given by the map  $\oplus_{\iota \in I} \pi_{\iota} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\oplus_{\iota \in I} \mathcal{H}_{\iota})$  defined by

$$(\oplus_{\iota \in I} \pi_{\iota})(\gamma) = \oplus_{\iota \in I} (\pi_{\iota}(\gamma)).$$

A unitary representation  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  is **reducible** if it contains a non-trivial sub-representations, or equivalently, there exists a closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{K} \neq \{0\}, \mathcal{H}$ . In this case it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}^{\perp}$  is also  $\Gamma$ -invariant and the natural isomorphism from  $\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$  induces an equivalence between the representations  $\pi|_{\mathcal{K}} \oplus \pi|_{\mathcal{K}^{\perp}}$  and  $\pi$ . If a unitary representation has no non-trivial invariant subspace we say that it is **irreducible**.

A vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  is a **cyclic vector** if the smallest  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  which contains  $\xi$  is  $\mathcal{H}$  itself. Note that if  $\pi$  is irreducible then every non-zero vector is cyclic.

**Lemma 1.1.5** (Schur's Lemma). *Let  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$  be two irreducible unitary representations of a group  $\Gamma$ , if  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant then either  $T = 0$ , or else  $T$  is a scalar multiple of a unitary. In particular,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  has a non-zero  $\Gamma$ -invariant operator if and only if  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  be as above and suppose  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Thus,  $T^*T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and hence any spectral projection of  $T^*T$  gives a  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspace. Since  $\pi$  is irreducible it then follows that  $T^*T \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $T^*T \neq 0$  then by multiplying  $T$  by a scalar we may assume that  $T$  is an isometry. Hence,  $TT^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$  is a non-zero  $\Gamma$ -invariant projection, and since  $\rho$  is irreducible it follows that  $TT^* = TT^* = 1$ .  $\square$

If  $I$  is finite, then the **tensor product representation** is given by the map  $\otimes_{\iota \in I} \pi_{\iota} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\overline{\otimes}_{\iota \in I} \mathcal{H}_{\iota})$  defined by

$$(\otimes_{\iota \in I} \pi_{\iota})(\gamma) = \otimes_{\iota \in I} (\pi_{\iota}(\gamma)).$$

**Lemma 1.1.6** (Fell's Absorption Principle). *Let  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  be a unitary representation of a group  $\Gamma$ , let  $1_{\mathcal{H}}$  denote the trivial representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Gamma)$  denote the left-regular representation. Then the representations  $\lambda \otimes \pi$  and  $\lambda \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Consider the unitary  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{H})$  determined by  $U(\delta_x \otimes \xi) = \delta_x \otimes \pi(x)\xi$ , for all  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then for all  $\gamma, x \in \Gamma$ , and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} U^*(\lambda \otimes \pi)(\gamma)U(\delta_x \otimes \xi) &= U^*(\lambda \otimes \pi)(\gamma)(\delta_x \otimes \pi(x)\xi) \\ &= U^*(\delta_{\gamma x} \otimes \pi(\gamma)\pi(x)\xi) \\ &= \delta_{\gamma x} \otimes \pi((\gamma x)^{-1})\pi(\gamma)\pi(x)\xi = (\lambda \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}})(\gamma)(\delta_x \otimes \xi). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Example 1.1.7** (Hilbert-Schmidt operators). Given two Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  with respective orthonormal bases  $\{\xi_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $\{\eta_j\} \subset \mathcal{K}$  we define the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$  by

$$\text{HS}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \mid \|S\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \sum_i \|S\xi_i\|^2 < \infty\}.$$

Parseval's identity gives

$$\sum_i \|S\xi_i\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} |\langle S\xi_i, \eta_j \rangle|^2 = \sum_j \|S^*\eta_j\|^2,$$

hence we see that this sum is independent of the orthonormal bases chosen. Moreover, this shows that if  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_0)$ , and  $S \in \text{HS}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  then  $BSA \in \text{HS}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{K}_0)$  and

$$\|BSA\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|B\| \|S\|_{\text{HS}} \|A\|.$$

The space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators is an inner-product space with inner-product given by

$$\langle S, T \rangle_{\text{HS}} = \sum_{i,j} \langle S\xi_i, \eta_j \rangle \langle \eta_j, T\xi_i \rangle,$$

which is well defined by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and does not depend on the bases by applying Perseval's identity.

The inner-product defined above turns  $HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  into a Hilbert space. To see this suppose  $S_n \in HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  is a sequence of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, which is Cauchy in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, then since the Hilbert-Schmidt norm is the same for any orthonormal basis it follows that for any vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  we have that

$$\|S_n\xi - S_m\xi\| \leq \|\xi\| \|S_n - S_m\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we may define an operator  $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  by setting  $S\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n\xi$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Note that by the uniform boundedness principle of we have that  $S$  is bounded.

Moreover, for any finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}$  it is easy to see that

$$\|P_{\mathcal{K}_0}S - P_{\mathcal{K}_0}S_n\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0,$$

and thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S\|_{\text{HS}} &= \sup_{\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}, \dim(\mathcal{K}_0) < \infty} \|P_{\mathcal{K}_0}S\|_{\text{HS}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $S \in HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  and so all that remains to show is that  $\|S - S_n\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ .

Suppose  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given. Since  $S - S_n$  is Cauchy in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, there exists some  $N > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have that  $\|S_N - S_n\|_{\text{HS}} < \varepsilon/2$ . Take  $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}$  a finite dimensional subspace such that  $\|P_{\mathcal{K}_0^\perp}(S - S_N)\|_{\text{HS}} < \varepsilon/2$ . Then for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S - S_n\|_{\text{HS}} \\ &\leq \|P_{\mathcal{K}_0}S - P_{\mathcal{K}_0}S_n\|_{\text{HS}} + \|P_{\mathcal{K}_0^\perp}S - P_{\mathcal{K}_0^\perp}S_N\|_{\text{HS}} + \|P_{\mathcal{K}_0^\perp}S_N - P_{\mathcal{K}_0^\perp}S_n\|_{\text{HS}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term above tends to zero while the others are each at most  $\varepsilon/2$ , hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S - S_n\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary it follows that  $\|S - S_n\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ .

Note that because this does not depend on the choice of bases, we have that unitaries  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$  define a unitary on  $HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  by the map  $S \mapsto USV$ .

Suppose now that  $\Gamma$  is a group and  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$  are two unitary representations. We then have a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  given by  $T \mapsto \rho(\gamma)T\pi(\gamma^{-1})$ , for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Consider the linear map  $\Xi$  between  $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\text{Alg}} \overline{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\text{HS}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  which takes an elementary tensor  $\eta \otimes \xi$  to the rank one operator  $\zeta \mapsto \langle \zeta, \overline{\xi} \rangle \eta$ . This map preserves the inner-product structure on each space since if  $\eta' \otimes \xi', \eta \otimes \xi \in \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\text{Alg}} \overline{\mathcal{H}}$  are two elementary tensors then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Xi(\eta' \otimes \xi'), \Xi(\eta \otimes \xi) \rangle_{\text{HS}} &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \Xi(\eta' \otimes \xi') \xi_i, \eta_j \rangle \langle \eta_j, \Xi(\eta \otimes \xi) \xi_i \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle \langle \xi_i, \overline{\xi'} \rangle \eta', \eta_j \rangle \langle \eta_j, \langle \xi_i, \overline{\xi} \rangle \eta \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle \overline{\xi}, \xi_i \rangle \langle \xi_i, \overline{\xi'} \rangle \langle \eta', \eta_j \rangle \langle \eta_j, \eta \rangle \\
&= \langle \overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi'} \rangle \langle \eta', \eta \rangle \\
&= \langle \xi' \otimes \eta', \xi \otimes \eta \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the space of finite rank operators is dense (in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm) in the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, it then follows that  $\Xi$  extends to a unitary operator from  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}$  to  $HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ .

This isomorphism in turn gives an isomorphism between  $\rho \otimes \overline{\pi}$  and the representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $\text{HS}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  described above. This follows easily from the formula

$$\rho(\gamma) \Xi(\xi \otimes \eta) \pi(\gamma^{-1}) = \Xi((\rho(\gamma)\xi) \otimes (\overline{\pi}(\gamma)\eta)),$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , and  $\eta \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ .