

# Notes on Veech's Theorem

Jesse Peterson

June 26, 2015

The aim of these notes is to prove the main result from [Vee79].

The following lemma is adapted from [Kec95, Theorem 8.51]. It is similar to [Nam74, Theorem 1.2], which has a slightly stronger conclusion when  $Y$  is compact.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  and  $Z$  metric spaces, and suppose  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is separately continuous, i.e.,  $x \mapsto f(x, y)$  is continuous for each  $y \in Y$ , and  $y \mapsto f(x, y)$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ . Then for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists a subset  $E_y \subset X \times \{y\}$ , which is comeager in  $X \times \{y\}$ , such that  $f$  is continuous at each point in  $E_y$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$F_{n,k} = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid d_Z(f(x, u), f(x, v)) \leq 2^{-n} \text{ for all } u, v \in B(y, 2^{-k})\}.$$

Since  $y \mapsto f(x, y)$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$  we have that  $X \times Y = \bigcap_n \bigcup_k F_{n,k}$ .

If  $\{(x_i, y_i)\} \subset F_{n,k}$  is a net such that  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , and  $y_i \rightarrow y$ , and if  $u, v \in B(y, 2^{-k})$ , then we may choose  $i_0$  such that  $u, v \in B(y_i, 2^{-k})$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ , and hence  $d_Z(f(x_i, u), f(x_i, v)) \leq 2^{-n}$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ . As  $x \mapsto f(x, u)$  and  $x \mapsto f(x, v)$  are continuous we then have  $d_Z(f(x, u), f(x, v)) \leq 2^{-n}$  and hence  $(x, y) \in F_{n,k}$ . Thus, we have shown that  $F_{n,k}$  is closed for each  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Fix  $y \in Y$  and set  $D_y = \bigcup_n \bigcup_k \partial(F_{n,k} \cap (X \times \{y\}))$ . Then  $D_y$  is meager in  $X \times \{y\}$ , and we will show that if  $(x, y) \in E_y = (X \times \{y\}) \setminus D_y$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $(x, y)$ . Indeed, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and take  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $2^{-n} \leq \varepsilon$ , and  $(x, y) \in F_{n,k}$ . Since  $x \notin D_y$  we have that  $x$  is an interior point in  $F_{n,k} \cap (X \times \{y\})$ , and since  $x \mapsto f(x, y)$  is continuous there then exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $V \times \{y\} \subset F_{n,k}$ , and  $d_Z(f(x, y), f(s, y)) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in V$ . If  $s \in V$ , and  $t \in B(y, 2^{-k})$  we then have

$$d_Z(f(x, y), f(s, t)) \leq d_Z(f(x, y), f(s, y)) + d_Z(f(s, y), f(s, t)) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

□

**Corollary 2** (Fort [For55]). *Let  $G$  be group with a Baire topology such that for each  $h \in G$  the function  $g \mapsto hg$  is continuous. Let  $X$  be a metric space, and suppose that  $G \curvearrowright X$  is an action such that  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$  is separately continuous. Then the action is jointly continuous.*

*Proof.* Fix  $(g_0, x_0) \in G \times X$ . Since  $G$  is Baire, the previous lemma shows that there exists  $h_0 \in G$  such that the map  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$  is continuous at  $(h_0, x_0)$ . If we first apply the continuous map  $(g, x) \mapsto ((h_0 g_0^{-1})g, x)$ , then we see that  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$  is continuous at  $(g_0, x_0)$ . □

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $G$  be a group with a topology which is metrizable and Baire (e.g., if the topology is Polish). Suppose that  $(g, h) \mapsto gh$  is separately continuous, then this map is jointly continuous.*

*Proof.* We just consider the action of the group on itself given by left multiplication and then apply the previous corollary.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a group with a Baire topology such that multiplication is jointly continuous. Then  $G$  is a topological group, i.e., inversion is continuous.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that inversion is continuous on a comeager set (and hence at some point since  $G$  is Baire). Indeed, if inversion is continuous at  $a_0 \in G$  and if  $a \in G$  is arbitrary, then if  $a_n \rightarrow a$ , then  $a_n(a^{-1}a_0) \rightarrow a_0$ , hence  $a_0^{-1}aa_n^{-1} \rightarrow a_0^{-1}$ , and we then have  $a_n^{-1} \rightarrow a^{-1}$  which shows that inversion is continuous at  $a$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $G$  be a Polish group,  $X$  a Banach space, and  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Isom}(X)$  a SOT-continuous representation. If  $k_n, \tilde{k}_n, g_n \in G$  such that  $k_n \rightarrow k$ ,  $\tilde{k}_n \rightarrow \tilde{k}$ , and  $\text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(g_n) = T$ , then  $\text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(k_n g_n \tilde{k}_n) = \pi(k)T\pi(\tilde{k})$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to consider the case when  $X$  is separable. We first note that it is easy to see that  $\text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(g_n \tilde{k}_n) = T\pi(\tilde{k})$ . Thus, replacing  $g_n$  with  $g_n \tilde{k}_n$ , and  $T$  with  $T\pi(\tilde{k})$  we may assume that  $\tilde{k}_n = \tilde{k} = e$ .

Since  $X$  is separable,  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is metrizable in the weak operator topology. It is easy to see that the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  by left multiplication is separately continuous. Fort's joint continuity theorem then shows that the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is jointly continuous. Hence, as  $k_n \rightarrow k$ , and  $\text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(g_n) = T$  it follows that  $\text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(k_n g_n) = \pi(k)T$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6** (Mautner [Mau57]). *Let  $G, X$ , and  $\pi$  be as above. If  $g, a_n \in G$ , such that  $\text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(a_n) = T$ , and  $a_n^{-1}ga_n \rightarrow e$ , then  $\pi(g)T = T$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\pi(g)T = \text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(ga_n) = \text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(a_n(a_n^{-1}ga_n)) = T$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7** (Veech [Vee79]). *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group,  $X$  a Banach space and  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Isom}(X)$  a SOT-continuous representation such that  $\pi(G)$  is WOT-precompact, then any WOT-cluster point of  $\pi(G)$  is a projection onto the space of  $G$ -invariant vectors.*

*Proof.* We prove only the case  $G = SL_2(R)$ , leaving the general case to the reader. We let  $A_+$  denote the group of diagonal matrices in  $G$  which have positive diagonal entries and we let  $K = SO(2) < G$ . We recall the Cartan decomposition  $G = KA_+K$ .

Suppose  $g_n \in G$  is a sequence converging to infinity in  $G$  and let  $T$  be a WOT-cluster point. We write  $g_n = k_n a_n \tilde{k}_n$  in the Cartan decomposition and taking a subsequence we will assume that for  $k, \tilde{k} \in K$  we have  $k_n \rightarrow k$ ,  $\tilde{k}_n \rightarrow \tilde{k}$ , and  $\text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(g_n) = T$ . By Lemma 5 we then have  $S = \text{WOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(a_n) = \pi(k)T\pi(\tilde{k})$ .

Without loss of generality we assume that the first entry in the matrices  $a_n$  are tending to infinity so that  $a_n^{-1}xa_n \rightarrow e$  for all  $x \in N_+$ . Hence, by Mautner's lemma we have that  $\pi(x)S = S$  for all  $x \in N_+$ . Since,  $N_+$  is non-compact we may again use the Cartan decomposition to conclude that there is a sequence  $b_n \in A_+$ ,  $h_n, \tilde{h}_n \in K$ , and  $h, \tilde{h} \in K$ , such that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h_n \rightarrow h$ ,  $\tilde{h}_n \rightarrow \tilde{h}$ , and  $\pi(h_n b_n \tilde{h}_n)S = S$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore we have  $\text{SOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(b_n)\pi(\tilde{h})S = \pi(h)S$ .

Now fix  $x \in X$  in the range of  $\pi(\tilde{h})S = \pi(\tilde{k}\tilde{h})T\pi(\tilde{k})$ . Since  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\pi(b_n)x$  converges it follows that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{b \in A_+ \mid \|\pi(b)x - x\| < \varepsilon\}$  is non-compact. Thus, there exists a sequence  $c_n \in A_+$  such that  $\text{SOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(c_n)x = x$ . We then have also that  $\text{SOT-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(c_n^{-1})x = x$ , and hence taking

a subsequence and replacing  $c_n$  with  $c_n^{-1}$  if necessary we may assume that the first diagonal entries of  $c_n$  are tending to infinity (and hence the first diagonal entries of  $c_n^{-1}$  are tending to 0). Since  $\text{WOT-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(c_n)x = x$  we then have from Mautner's lemma that  $\pi(g)x = x$  for all  $g \in N_+$ . Since we also have  $\text{WOT-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(c_n^{-1})x = x$ , Mautner's lemma also shows that  $\pi(g)x = x$  for all  $g \in N_-$ .

Finally, since  $\langle N_+, N_- \rangle = G$  we conclude that  $x$  is  $G$ -invariant. Since  $x$  was an arbitrary vector in the range of  $\pi(k\tilde{h})T\pi(\tilde{k})$ , and since  $\pi(k\tilde{h}), \pi(\tilde{k}) \in \text{Isom}(X)$  it then follows that every vector in the range of  $T$  is  $G$ -invariant. Thus,  $T$  is a projection onto the space of  $G$ -invariant vectors.  $\square$

Restricting Veech's result to Hilbert spaces we obtain:

**Corollary 8** (Howe-Moore [HM79]). *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group, and  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  a SOT-continuous representation without  $G$ -invariant vectors, then  $\text{WOT-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(g_n) = 0$ , whenever  $g_n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Corollary 9** (Veech [Vee79]). *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group, then  $W(G) = \mathbb{C} + C_0(G)$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the isometric representation  $L : G \rightarrow \text{Isom}(W(G))$  given by  $L_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ . Then it is easy to see that this representation satisfies the hypotheses of the previous theorem and hence for each  $f \in W(G)$  there exists  $\varphi(f) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\text{WOT-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{g_n}(f) = \varphi(f)$  whenever  $g_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since point evaluation at  $e$  is weakly continuous on  $W(G)$  we then conclude that  $f(g_n^{-1}) \rightarrow \varphi(f)$ , and hence  $f - \varphi(f) \in C_0(G)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [Ell57] Robert Ellis, *Locally compact transformation groups*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 119–125.
- [For55] M. K. Fort, Jr., *Category theorems*, Fund. Math. **42** (1955), 276–288.
- [HM79] Roger E. Howe and Calvin C. Moore, *Asymptotic properties of unitary representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **32** (1979), no. 1, 72–96.
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Mau57] F. I. Mautner, *Geodesic flows on symmetric Riemann spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **65** (1957), 416–431.
- [Nam74] I. Namioka, *Separate continuity and joint continuity*, Pacific J. Math. **51** (1974), 515–531.
- [Vee79] William A. Veech, *Weakly almost periodic functions on semisimple Lie groups*, Monatsh. Math. **88** (1979), no. 1, 55–68.