

Math 4710/6710 – Graph Theory – Fall 2019

Basic concepts II

Note: Many definitions are different from those in the book.

Trees

Edge exchange properties: Let T, U be distinct spanning trees of a graph G , and $e \in E(T) - E(U)$.

(EE1) There is $e' \in E(U) - E(T)$ such that $T - e + e'$ is a spanning tree.

(EE2) There is $e'' \in E(U) - E(T)$ such that $U + e - e''$ is a spanning tree.

Kruskal's Algorithm: Apply Global TCM, being greedy, i.e., picking an available edge of minimum weight at each step.

Jarník-Prim Algorithm: Apply Local TCM, being greedy, i.e., picking an available edge of minimum weight at each step.

Directed graphs

directed graph or *digraph*: D has vertex set $V(D)$, set of arcs/ or *directed edges* $A(D)$, incidence function ψ_D mapping each arc to *ordered* pair of vertices.

strict digraph: no loops or parallel arcs (but opposite arcs are allowed); denote arc as uv .

Arc from u to v : *head* v , *tail* u , u *dominates* v .

outdegree $d^+(v)$, *indegree* $d^-(v)$.

Set of *outneighbours* $N^+(v) = \{u \in V(D) \mid u \neq v, v \text{ dominates } u\}$; *inneighbours* $N^-(v)$.

underlying graph: ignore directions.

associated digraph of graph G : replace each edge by pair of opposite arcs.

orientation of graph G : replace each edge by *one* of possible arcs; *oriented graph* = orientation of simple graph.

tournament: orientation of complete graph K_n .

source: vertex of indegree 0; *sink*: vertex of outdegree 0.

converse of D : reverse all arcs.

Moving around in digraphs: Have directed versions of walks, trails, paths, cycles, euler trails and euler tours: must follow edges in correct direction. Directed uv -walk goes *from* u to v .

connected: underlying graph connected.

If $X, Y \subseteq V(D)$, $A(X, Y)$ = edges with tail in X , head in Y . Let \bar{X} denote $V(D) - X$. $\delta^+(X) = A(X, \bar{X})$ and $\delta^-(X) = A(\bar{X}, X)$.

strong or *strongly connected*: $\delta^+(X) \neq \emptyset$ for all proper nonempty subsets X of $V(D)$. (Or equivalently, $\delta^-(X) \neq \emptyset$ for all such X .)

reachability in digraphs means directed reachability: uR^+v if there is a directed uv -walk (or equivalently a directed uv -path); say v is *reachable from* u .

$R_D^+(v)$ means vertices reachable from v ; $R_D^-(v)$ means vertices that can reach v .

branching or *outbranching* or *arborescence*: rooted tree where all edges directed outward from root. Can be constructed via *Directed Local TCM*; special cases *Directed BFS* and *Directed DFS* (only consider edges going outward from root).

acyclic digraph (computer scientists call it a *DAG*): no directed cycles.

Lemma: An acyclic digraph has at least one source and at least one sink.

distance in networks: given digraph D , nonnegative weight (distance) $w(a)$ for each arc, $d(u, v)$ is minimum length (total weight) of uv -path.

Flows

Network (D, c) : digraph D ($V = V(D)$, $A = A(D)$), each arc has nonnegative *capacity* $c(a)$.

δ^+X , δ^+v , δ^-X , δ^-v : arcs out of/into set of vertices X or single vertex v .

$$\overline{X} = V - X.$$

A *flow* is $f \in \mathbf{R}^A$, i.e., f is a function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

If $S \subseteq A$ then $f(S)$ means $\sum_{a \in S} f(a)$.

If $X \subseteq V$ and $v \in V$ then $f^+(X)$, $f^+(v)$, $f^-(X)$, $f^-(v)$ mean $f(\delta^+X)$, $f(\delta^+v)$, $f(\delta^-X)$, $f(\delta^-v)$ respectively.

$\partial f(X) = f^+(X) - f^-(X)$ is *net outflow from* X and $\partial f(v)$ is defined similarly.

Proposition (Vertex additivity of net flow): For any $f : A(D) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and any $X \subseteq V(D)$, $\partial f(X) = \sum_{v \in X} \partial f(v)$.

Say f *conserved* at v if $f^+(v) = f^-(v)$, i.e., $\partial f(v) = 0$.

f is a *circulation* if f is conserved at all $v \in V$.

Given *supply vertex* x and *demand vertex* y an *xy-flow* (or often just *flow*) is a flow $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ conserved at every $v \in V - \{x, y\}$.

Feasible flow in (D, c) : flow (not necessarily *xy-flow*) that satisfies $0 \leq f(a) \leq c(a) \forall a \in A(D)$.

The *value* of an *xy-flow* is $\text{val } f = \partial f(x)$ (net flow out of x). (Linear function on *xy-flows*.)

Special flows: - if P directed *xy-path*, $\chi_P(a) = 1$ if $a \in A(P)$, 0 otherwise. $\text{val } \chi_P = 1$.

- if P direction-insensitive *xy-path*, $\vec{\chi}_P(a) = 1$ if P uses a forwards, -1 if P uses a backwards, 0 otherwise. $\text{val } \vec{\chi}_P = 1$.

- if C directed cycle (may or may not contain x or y), $\chi_C(a) = 1$ if $a \in A(C)$, 0 otherwise. $\text{val } \chi_C = 0$.

An *xy-cut* is a set of arcs K for which there exists some set of vertices X with $x \in X$, $y \notin X$ and $K = \delta^+X$.

The *capacity* of the cut $K = \delta^+X$ is just $c(K) = c^+(X)$.

A *minimum xy-cut* means an *xy-cut* of minimum capacity.

Lemma: $\partial f(X) = \text{val } f$ for any *xy-cut* δ^+X .

Observation: For any feasible *xy-flow* f and *xy-cut* $K = \delta^+X$, we have $\text{val } f \leq c(K)$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $f(a) = c(a) \forall a \in \delta^+X$ and $f(a) = 0 \forall a \in \delta^-X$.

Residual network $(D^*, c^*) = \text{Res}(D, c, f)$: shows how we can modify flow f . Same vertex set as D . Up to two arcs for every arc a of D :

if $f(a) < c(a)$ add a^+ , copy of a , to D^* with capacity $c^*(a) = c(a) - f(a)$ (shows we can push extra flow along a);

if $f(a) > 0$ add a^- , opposite to a , to D^* with capacity $c^*(a) = f(a)$ (shows that we can 'push some flow backwards' along a , i.e., reduce flow in a).

f-augmenting path: directed *xy-path* in D^* .

Observe: If P is an *f-augmenting path* then we can augment along f to get a new feasible *xy-flow* of higher value. **Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm** repeatedly searches for *f-augmenting path* and augments; if no *f-augmenting path*, vertices X reachable from x in D^* give minimum cut δ^+X . **Edmonds-Karp Algorithm** is special version guaranteed to terminate in polynomial time.

Note: If all capacities integral, F-F Algorithm shows that an integer-valued maximum flow exists.

Max Flow Min Cut Theorem: The value of a maximum *xy-flow* equals the capacity of a minimum *xy-cut*.

Note: Can allow infinite capacities, MFMC Theorem still holds.

Note: Vertex capacities $c(v)$ implemented by splitting v into v^- with all in-arcs, v^+ with all out-arcs, and arc v^-v^+ of capacity $c(v)$.

Support of f , $\text{supp } f = \{a | f(a) \neq 0\}$. *Acyclic flow* has acyclic support.

Flow Decomposition Algorithm: Given nonnegative flow f_0 , first remove flow around directed cycles (remove circulation f_C) to get acyclic f_A , then remove flow along maximal directed paths to get 0.

Gallai's Flow Decomposition Theorem (FDT): Every nonnegative flow f_0 may be written

$$f_0 = \overbrace{\alpha_1 \chi_{C_1} + \alpha_2 \chi_{C_2} + \dots + \alpha_s \chi_{C_s}}^{f_C} + \overbrace{\beta_1 \chi_{P_1} + \beta_2 \chi_{P_2} + \dots + \beta_t \chi_{P_t}}^{f_A}$$

where

- (i) f_C is a nonnegative circulation, $s \geq 0$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s > 0$, and C_1, \dots, C_s are directed cycles;
- (ii) f_A is a nonnegative acyclic flow, $t \geq 0$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t > 0$, and each P_i is a directed $x_i y_i$ -path with $\partial f_0(x_i) > 0$, $\partial f_0(y_i) < 0$; and
- (iii) if f_0 is integer-valued then we may choose $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$ to all be integers, so that f_C and f_A are also integer-valued. ■